UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

**IN RE: TFT–LCD (FLAT PANEL) ANTITRUST
LITIGATION**   MDL No. 1827

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO–14)

On April 17, 2007, the Panel transferred 7 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the
Northern Distirct of California for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28
U.S.C. §1407. *See* 483 F.Supp.2d 1353 (J.P.M.L. 2007). Since that time, 80 additional action(s)
have been transferred to the Northern Distirct of California. With the consent of that court, all such
actions have been assigned to the Honorable Susan Yvonne Illston.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are
common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern Distirct of California and assigned to
Judge Illston.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict
Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. §1407 to the
Northern Distirct of California for the reasons stated in the order of April 17, 2007, and, with the
consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Susan Yvonne Illston.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States
District Court for the Northern Distirct of California. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall
be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the
Panel within this 7–day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

FOR THE PANEL:

Jeffery N. Lüthi
Clerk of the Panel

Inasmuch as no objection is
pending at this time, the
stay is lifted.

May 02, 2011

CLERK'S OFFICE
UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

**IN RE: TFT–LCD (FLAT PANEL) ANTITRUST
LITIGATION**   MDL No. 1827

SCHEDULE CTO–14 – TAG–ALONG ACTIONS

DIST	**DIV.**	**C.A.NO.**	**CASE CAPTION**
WASHINGTON WESTERN			
WAW	2	11–00664	T–Mobile U.S.A., Inc. v AU Optronics Corporation, et al